Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Kolar District—General).—What are the prospects for these scholars when they return from foreign countries?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—How can I speak about prospects?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I wanted to know whether their emoluments will be increased or whether they will get any promotion to higher places after their return from foreign countries.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I must straightaway say that it is not obligatory on the part of the Government to give them higher posts or to advance their salaries. One advantage for them is that they have been sent to foreign countries for special studies at the cost of the Government and it does not necessarily follow that as soon as they come back they must be given higher places or higher pay. Government would always consider how best to utilise their services to the advantage of the State and wherever possible the question of giving higher grades will be considered. But it is not obligatory.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What is the total amount spent by the Government for scholarships to these teachers in foreign countries?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Notice.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What is the basis on which these people were selected—how many from the mofussil colleges and how many from the City colleges?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Sir, I must submit that these supplementaries are going much beyond the scope of the main question.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it not true that these teachers were selected only from the City Colleges?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—They were selected long ago at a time when there were no mofussil colleges.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Are the Government making selections every year and sending them abroad?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Just a few will be sent every year depending upon the financial exigencies and also upon the necessities of the various departments concerned and I may say that just at present we are going slow in this matter.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—At least after the starting of the colleges in mofussil places, will those teachers in the mofussil be given some preference?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—We cannot make any distinction between city colleges and mofussil colleges. The best will be selected to satisfy the requirements of the various departments.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Chitaldrug District—General).—Are any bonds taken from those scholars that they would serve the State after their return?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ರಾಮಚಾರ್ಯರು (ಕೋಲಾರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ಅವರಿಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷ ರೀತ್ಯಾ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವರ ವಿದ್ಯೆಯ ಉಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ನಷ್ಟವಲ್ಲವೆ;

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a matter of opinion. Next question, Question No. 38.

Muslim officers retired or granted leave before superannuation.

*Q.—38. **Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN** (Chikmagalur *cum* Shimoga—Muslim).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of Muslim officers of the State and of the Gazetted rank retired or on leave during the years 1950, 1951, before superannuation;

(*b*) the posts these officers were holding when they handed over charge;

(*c*) the reasons for their retirement before superannuation or forced leave?

A.—**The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) (i) 1950. Three officers were granted leave.

(ii) 1951. One officer was granted leave.

No officer was retired before attaining the age of superannuation.

(THE HON'BLE SRI K. CHENGALARAYA REDLY.)

(*b*) (1) Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur;

(2) Superintendent, Land Records and Survey;

(3) Assistant Commissioner in charge of State Huzur Treasury; and

(4) Labour Commissioner, respectively.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*a*).

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—Sir, it is stated in answer (a) (i) that three officers were granted leave. What is the kind of leave granted to them? Is it privilege leave or furlough leave or leave preparatory to retiremant?

***The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.**—Such leave as they were entitled to.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—Will you kindly make it definite—what kind of leave was sanctioned?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Is the Hon'ble Member referring to any particular officer among the three mentioned in the answer?

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—I want to know the kinds of leave granted to all the three officers mentioned in the answer.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The Assistant Commissioner in charge of the State Huzur Treasury was granted leave after he was retired from service. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur was granted leave preparatory to retirement. And the Superintendent, Land Records, Survey and Settlement was also granted leave preparatory to retirement.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—Is it because that they had reached superannuation? When is an officer usually retired?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—There are several considerations on which retirement is ordered; if the officer reaches 55 or if an officer has completed 25 or 30 years of service, in certain cases for administrative reasons, he may be retired. There are several grounds on which officers may be retired.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—In all these three cases referred to, is it a fact that they have not reached the age of 55?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—There was considerable doubt regarding the ages or dates of birth of these officers. All the details with regard to these were carefully gone into and that was also one of the operative reasons for granting leave preparatory to retirement.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—So the question of age also has been there as one of the considerations for their being granted leave preparatory to retirement?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Surely. One of the main considerations also.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—In answer to (a) it is stated: "1951. One officer was granted leave." Is it leave preparatory to retirement?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—Who is the officer?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Janab Mahomed Ismail Sheriff, Labour Commissioner.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—What leave he has been granted?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—He has now been granted leave for two months.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—When is he likely to be recalled from leave?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—As soon as administrative exigencies allow.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—Is it due to the exigencies of administration that he has been granted leave or at his own request?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—For administrative reasons.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—Can those reasons be disclosed?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Well, there is a case under enquiry against him by the Department of Anti-corruption and Efficiency Audit.

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF (Mysore *cum* Mandya *cum* Hassan—Muslim).—In answer to (*a*), it is stated that three officers were granted leave. Does this include the case of the Assistant Revenue Commissioner who was in charge of date groves? I mean one Janab Nazir Ahmed Meccai whose name was not mentioned.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Notice.

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF.—Have the Government included in this list the names of one or two Gazetted Officers of the Education Department?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—It is for the years 1950 and 1951. I am not sure if the Hon'ble Member has in mind the officers who retired before 1950.

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF.—Cases in 1951 of one or two persons.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Is the Hon'ble Member referring to Janab Abdul Wahab?

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF.—Besides him, there is one officer by name Janab Kareem. His name and that of Janab Nazir Ahmed Meccai have not been included in the list?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Regarding that I want notice.

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF.—It is stated that the officers were granted leave. Was the leave granted on their own applications or did the Government want to force leave upon them?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Not at their instance, but the Government gave them leave.

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF.—Is it not a fact that in the case of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, Janab Aleem by name, he was given leave six months prior to the schedule time of his retirement?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—All these cases revolve upon dates of birth according to the evidences available before the Government and in one of the cases on the basis of admission of the officers themselves. It transpired they ought to have retired much earlier; in one case it was a difference of six or seven years; in another case, it was a difference of two years and in another case, a difference of three or four years. So, as I have already stated, this was one of the main reasons which influenced the Government to take the course of action that they did.

Janab MAHMOOD SHARIFF.—In respect of Janab Aleem, Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur, although he had six months' time at his credit, the Government asked him to go even before the schedule time was over. Is it not a fact that leave was forced on him? There was no question of age in his case.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—He was to have retired, according to the age given in the College and certain other places, two years earlier. If it is the Civil Service age that the Hon'ble Member has in mind, this officer was given six months leave preparatory to retirement according to the Civil Service age. I may explain that in the case of some officers, not only Muslim officers but some other officers also, where there was a very strong case based on details that there has been considerable difference between the actual date of birth and the age as given in the Civil Service list, the Government have taken not a very drastic but a lenient view of the matter and they have not only not taken any action regarding them but also they have given leave and then retired the officers. The Government claim to have taken a lenient view of such cases, not a drastic view.

Mushir-ul-Mulk J. MOHAMED IMAM (Chitaldrug *cum* Tumkur—Muslim).—The Hon'ble Chief Minister said that the difference of age was the main reason for retirement. Along with the main reason, what are the subsidiary reasons, the other reasons for the retirement of these officers?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—That is one of the main reasons.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Apart from that, are there any other reasons?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—General administrative reasons.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Apart from the difference of age, are there any other specific reasons such as any specific charges against them?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No specific charges.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—How many cases are there in all, where difference in age has been found? How many such cases have been pending before the Government?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I have already given that information in detail in the last session of the Assembly.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that there are nearly 212 cases pending before the Government?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Quite a large number of cases. But the Government have taken up for consideration such cases which are on the border land as it were, reserving for consideration the other cases which could be taken up and decided later.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—During 1949-50 and 1950-51 how many officers in all have been retired on this main ground of difference in age?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That becomes an entirely different question.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—The question is, who were the Muslim officers retired during 1950 and 1951 before superannuation? I believe there were other officers also who were retired.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I can say for the moment that there were other officers, but I cannot say now as to how many of them.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—How many cases are under consideration now due to the difference in age?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—It has already been answered.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—How many cases are under the consideration or contemplation of the Government where they intend taking action due to the main reason of difference in age?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I said on the last occasion that every case has to be gone into very carefully. The Government do not want to take a hasty decision with regard to any of these officers. When there is such a large number of cases the Government would take its own time to settle the doubts in the case of any officer; but, as I indicated last time, those cases which were on the border land as it were, the Government were considering. There are just a few cases of that nature still which the Government hope to take up in the very near future.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Out of 212 cases how many are on the border land?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—If the Hon'ble Member puts a different question, I will be able to answer.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Since the Hon'ble Chief Minister made that statement last time, that 212 such cases were pending, what action has been taken about them?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is an entirely different question. That does not arise.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—The Hon'ble Chief Minister was pleased to state just now that my supplementaries do not arise. They always arise out of the answers given by the Hon'ble Chief Minister.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When the Chair says that it is not a proper supplementary the Hon'ble Member may put another question.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If the Hon'ble Speaker does not want me to put that question, I will not. May I know, out of all these cases, on what ground did the Government select the cases of only these officers?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The question is with regard to the Muslim officers that have been retired and answers are accordingly given. Questions are not asked with regard to non-Muslim officers. How can I answer that question?

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—May I know why the cases of only these officers are picked up out of 212 officers?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—It is not a fact. There are cases of othe officers also.

Sri G. A. ACHARYA (Bangalore District—General).—If the difference in age were to be hardly six months or one year, do the Government take drastic action against the officers concerned?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REEDY.—That does not arise.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Mysore District—General).—Shall I take it that the Government have forced leave on these officers by way of punishment for the offences committed by them?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No. Not by way of punishment. It is the decision of the Government for administrative reasons taking all aspects into consideration.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Is it not by way of punishment? Then, what are the reasons that impelled the Government to force leave upon these officers?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Punishment has got a different meaning. If an Officer has given a wrong date of birth and if it is proved to the hilt, one can be dismissed from service or pension may be withheld or reduced. That would be deemed to be a punishment. But as I have already said, apart from the difference in the date of birth, the Government may retire an officer for other reasons.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—What are the specific reasons which impelled the Government to give them leave?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I have already answered that question.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Government were pleased to say that they were very lenient. What are the reasons for being so lenient?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Government took a very liberal view of the matter.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—What were the reasons that impelled the Government to be very lenien ?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Government were neither very lenient nor very drastic. The Gover ment have pursued the golden mean.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Shall I take it that the officers have not committed any offence?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA RAYA REDDY.—No definite finding has been given on that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು (ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ಕೆಲವು ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಇನ್ನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗುವುದರೊಳಗೆ 55 ವರ್ಷ ತುಂಬಲು ಎಂಬುದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೆ ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next question No. 22.

Change of designation of Mysore Trade Commissioner's post in England.

*Q.—22. **Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY** (Chitaldrug District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether there is a proposal under consideration of the Government to abolish the Mysore Trade Commissioner's post in England ;

(*b*) whether in such an event, any substitute post is to be created ;

(*c*) the details of designation, salary and remuneration of such substitute post ?

*A.—**The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA** (Minister for Finance and Industries).—

(*a*) No. The designation of the officer has recently been changed to "Trade Agent for Mysore in London."

(*b*) and (*c*) Do not arise in view of the answer to (*a*) above.

Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY.—When was the change of designation effected?

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—The exact date, I do not know. Just a few months back.

Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY.—Is it this year?

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—During 1950-51.